UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,629	03/24/2004	Dennis Cox	062891.0638	6090
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 03/04/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	ENUE	OKORONKWO, CHINWENDU C		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/808,629	COX ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		CHINWENDU C. OKORONKWO	2136			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 D</u>	<u>ecember 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	<del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen —		_				
2)  Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2136

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. In response to communications filed on 12/12/2007, applicant does not amend, cancel or add any claims. The following claims, claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

### Response to Remarks/Arguments

- 2.1 Applicant's arguments, pages 9-12, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-33 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2.2 In response to Applicant argument that the Malkin reference does not teach or suggest requests coming "from the initiator of the request," the Examiner respectfully disagrees citing column 2 lines 25-39 which recites, "the RAS completes the Link Control Protocol phase and *initiates* the authentication phase of the Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP)." Malkin goes further to recite, as cited in the previous Office Action that the "RAS using [identification] ... information to generate a remote authentication request that is sent to the appropriate Authentication Server (AS)."
- 2.3 The Examiner further disagrees with Applicant argument that the tunnel registration request is not an acknowledgement, citing column 2 lines 65-67 and col. 3 lines 1-5 "gateway completes the tunnel by *responding to the RAS with a tunnel registration response*. Once the tunnel is complete, the authentication phase of PPP is complete and the RAS may then perform the Network Control Protocol (NCP)

Art Unit: 2136

negotiations with the remote node in order to finish establishing open communication

between the remote node and the home network").

2.4 In response to Applicant argument that the Malkin reference does not teach or

suggest comparing a source address of a data packet against known internal address of

a private network, the Examiner respectfully disagrees citing column 2 lines 30-39,

which recites, "obtain[ing] the address if the gateway to the remote node's home

network and other information needed to establish connection with a destination within

the home network."

2.5 Applicant further argues that Malkin does not teach or suggest refusing to

process additional data packets received from the real source, to which the Examiner

respectfully disagrees citing column 3 lines 57-61 - "if an entry is not found, in step 214

the TMS will send a message to the RAS indicating an entry was not found .... The

RAS will then terminate the PPP connection (refuse to process additional packets) with

the remote node (from the real source)".

2.6 For at least the reasons presented above, the Examiner maintains the rejection

of above mentioned claims and their dependents.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2136

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 15-21, 27-29 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being disclosed by Malkin et al.

Regarding claims 1, 27- 29 and 31, Malkin et al., discloses a method for blocking an attack on a private network implemented by a routing device interconnecting the private network to a public network, comprising: receiving a request for connection from an initiator, over the public network (col. 2 lines 40-47 – "RAS using [identification] ... information to generate a remote authentication request that is sent to the appropriate Authentication Server (AS)"); requesting an acknowledgment from the initiator of the request (col. 2 lines 57-64 – "Once the user is authenticated by the AS, the Remote Access Server (RAS) begins to establish a 'tunnel' with the appropriate gateway by generating and sending a tunnel *registration request*"); determining whether the acknowledgment has been received within a predetermined amount of time and denying the request if the acknowledgment is not received within the predetermined amount of time (col. 2 lines 65-67 and col. 3 lines 1-5 – "gateway completes the tunnel by responding to the RAS with a tunnel registration response. Once the tunnel is

Art Unit: 2136

complete, the authentication phase of PPP is complete and the RAS may then perform the Network Control Protocol (NCP) negotiations with the remote node in order to finish establishing open communication between the remote node and the home network").

Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the public network is the Internet (col. 3 lines 61-67 and col. 4 lines 1-9 – establishes a connection using the "Internet Protocol").

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the routing device is a firewall providing access to the Internet (col. 2 lines 57-64 – "gateway").

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising processing the request if the acknowledgement is received (col. 2 lines 65-67 and col. 3 lines 1-5 – "gateway completes the tunnel by responding to the RAS with a tunnel registration response. Once the tunnel is complete, the authentication phase of PPP is complete and the RAS may then perform the Network Control Protocol (NCP) negotiations with the remote node in order to finish establishing open communication between the remote node and the home network").

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising adding an IP address of the initiator to a cache of IP addresses if the acknowledgement is not received (col. 4 lines 9-14 – "the RAS internally stores the information provided by the Tunnel Management System (TMS)").

Page 6

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising denying access through the routing device to any IP address on the cache of IP addresses (col. 5 lines 20-25 – "after a predetermined number of unsuccessful attempts, the RAS will terminate the PPP connection with the remote node [using the information internally stored information regarding said node]").

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising storing information about the initiator on a system log for analysis by the system administrator (col. 4 lines 9-14 – "the RAS internally stores the information provided by the Tunnel Management System (TMS)").).

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising storing information about the request for connection on a system log for analysis by the system administrator (col. 4 lines 9-14 – "the RAS internally stores the information provided by the Tunnel Management System (TMS)").).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 9</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising determining if a prior request for an acknowledgement has been sent to an IP address associated with the initiator and been unacknowledged within a predetermined amount of time, if the acknowledgement is not received (col. 5 lines 20-25 – "after a predetermined number of unsuccessful attempts, the RAS will terminate the PPP connection with the remote node").

Regarding <u>claim 10</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the request for connection (col. 2 lines 25-39 – "the remote node queries the service provider's TMS to obtain [additional information]").

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses a method for blocking an attack on a private network implemented by a routing device interconnecting the private network to a public network, comprising: receiving an incoming data packet from the public network; comparing a source address of the data packet against known internal addresses of the private network; determining if the source address matches a known internal address; and if there is a match: dropping the data packet; analyzing a header of the data packet; determining information regarding a history of the packet; determining a real source of the data packet using the information regarding the history of the packet; and refusing to process

any additional data packets received from the real source of the data packet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 1).

Regarding claim 16, Malkin et al., discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising storing data about the data packet on a system log, for use and analysis by a system administrator (Rejected under the same rational as claim 7).

Regarding <u>claim 17</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the public network is the Internet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 2).

Regarding <u>claim 18</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 17, wherein the routing device is a firewall providing access to the Internet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 3).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising forwarding the data packet to the private network if there is not a match (Rejected under the same rational as claim 6).

Regarding claim 20, Malkin et al., discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising adding an IP address of the data packet to a cache of IP addresses if there is a match (Rejected under the same rational as claim 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,629

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 21</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 20, further comprising denying access through the routing device to any IP address on the cache of IP addresses (Rejected under the same rational as claim 6).

Page 9

Regarding <u>claim 30</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses a system for blocking an attack on a private network, comprising: means for interconnecting a private network to a public network; means for receiving a request for connection from an initiator, over the public network; means for requesting an acknowledgment from the initiator of the request; means for determining whether the acknowledgment has been received within a predetermined amount of time and means for denying the request if the acknowledgment is not received within the predetermined amount of time (col. 5 lines 20-25 – "after a predetermined number of unsuccessful attempts, the RAS will terminate the PPP connection with the remote node").

Regarding claim 32, Malkin et al., discloses a software embodied in a computer-readable medium, the computer-readable medium comprising code operable to: interconnect a private network to a public network; receive a request for connection from an initiator, over the public network; request an acknowledgment from the initiator of the request; determine whether the acknowledgment has been received within a predetermined amount of time; and deny the request if the

Art Unit: 2136

acknowledgment is not received within the predetermined amount of time (col. 7

lines 7-17).

Regarding <u>claim 33</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses a Software embodied in a computer-readable medium, the computer-readable medium comprising code operable to: receive an incoming data packet from the public network; compare a source address of the data packet against known internal addresses of the private network; determine if the source address matches a known internal address; and if there is a match: drop the data packet; analyze a header of the data packet; determine information regarding a history of the packet; determine a real source of the data packet using the information regarding the history of the packet; and refuse to process any additional data packets received from the real source of the data packet (col. 7 lines 7-17).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2136

Claims 11-14 and 2-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malkin et al. (US Patent No. 6,061,650) and further in view of Levinson et al. (US Application Publication No. 20030053170).

Regarding claim 11, Malkin et al., is silent in disclosing the method of claim 10, wherein using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the request for connection comprises using trace root diagnostic tools to determine information about the source of the request for connection, however Levinson et al. does disclose network tools used in collection additional about a network (0008 – "network diagnostics"). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosed network diagnostic functions of Levinson et al. into the specific network diagnostic tools mentioned within the claim language such as "trace root, NeStat (NS) lookup, ping, etc." It would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would know that the disclosed "network diagnostic" functions comprises these specifically mentioned tools.

Regarding <u>claim 12</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 10, wherein using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the request for connection comprises using ping diagnostic tools to determine information about the source of the request for connection (Rejected under the same rationale as claim 11).

Regarding <u>claim 13</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 10, wherein using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the request for connection comprises using NS lookup diagnostic tools to determine information about the source of the request for connection (Rejected under the same rationale as claim 11).

Regarding <u>claim 14</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 10, further comprising forwarding the additional information to a system administrator via electronic mail (0046 – "send a electronic message").

Regarding <u>claim 22</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 15, further comprising using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the data packet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 11).

Regarding claim 23, Malkin et al., discloses the method of claim 22, wherein using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the data packet comprises using trace root diagnostic tools to determine additional information about the source of the data packet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 11).

Regarding claim 24, Malkin et al., discloses the method of claim 22, wherein

Art Unit: 2136

using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the data packet comprises using ping diagnostic tools to determine additional information about the source of the data packet (Rejected under the same rationale as claim 11).

Regarding <u>claim 25</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 22, wherein using diagnostic tools to determine additional information about a source of the data packet comprises using NS lookup diagnostic tools to determine additional information about the source of the data packet (Rejected under the same rational as claim 11).

Regarding <u>claim 26</u>, <u>Malkin et al.</u>, discloses the method of claim 22, further comprising forwarding the additional information to a system administrator via electronic mail (Rejected under the same rational as claim 11).

Art Unit: 2136

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHINWENDU C. OKORONKWO whose telephone number is (571)272-2662. The examiner can normally be reached on MWF 2:30 - 6:00, TR 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272 4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. C. O./

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136